

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/214,881	06/07/1999	SHOICHI OZAKI	S71761PCUS	8089
7	590 06/11/2002			
CHRISTOPHER J. BUNTEL, PH.D. BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
910 LOUISIAN			NOLAN, PATRICK J	
HOUSTON, TX 77002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	0.11
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2002	$\mathcal{A}\psi$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

Application No. 09/214,881 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1644

Ozaki et al.



	Patrick J. Nolan	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the corre	spondence address
THE REPLY FILED May 31, 2002 FAILS TO PL Therefore, further action by the applicant is required rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with a (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	) a timely filed amendment which place	lication. A proper reply to a final ses the application in condition for
THE PERIOD	FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months	from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing dates is later. In no event, however, will the statutory primal rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE See MPEP 706.07(f).	period for reply expire later than SIX MONTH	S from the mailing date of the
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) about mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, ma	determining the period of extension and the attention at the shore ated from: (1) the expiration date of the shore, if checked. Any reply received by the Of	corresponding amount of the fee. The tened statutory period for reply originally ffice later than three months after the
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on</li></ol>		
2. $oxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:	
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require fu	urther consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see NC	OTE below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by materia	illy reducing or simplifying the
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of finall	ly rejected claims.
NOTE: Applicant's exhibits demonstrate that	they did not have possession of the c	hicken sequence at the time of
the effective filing date, therefore a n	ew 35 USC 112 1st paragraph would	be necessitated. Furthermore,
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	rejection(s):	
<ul> <li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)</li></ul>		ould be allowable if submitted in
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ re application in condition for allowance because		nsidered but does NOT place the
5. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed SOLELY to	sissues which were newly raised
7. X For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amend explanation of how the new or amended clair	ment(s) a) Wwill not be entered or b) one of the ment (s) a) will not be entered or b) one of the ment (s) and below	will be entered and an vor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	llows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
3. $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) $\square$ approved or	
9. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta	tement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
0.□ Other:		PATRICK J. NOLAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER
		0/10/0